



# Justice for Magdalenes

## **Justice For Magdalenes (JFM)**

JFM is a survivor advocacy group working to bring about (i) an apology from Church and state, and (ii) the establishment of a distinct redress scheme for all survivors of Ireland's Magdalene laundries.

No one has apologized for the abuse suffered in these particular institutions, not Church, not State, not families, not the wider society. Consequently, these women—the majority of whom are elderly and aging—are denied restorative justice.

The Magdalene laundries were excluded from the state's Residential Institutions Redress Act, 2002. Consequently, Magdalene survivors are denied redress when they apply to the Residential Institutions Redress Board.

### **Historical Context:**

- Magdalene Laundries were institutions operated by nuns in which women, called “penitents,” worked at laundry and other for-profit enterprises
- these women were denied freedom of movement, they were never paid for their labour, and they were denied their given names and identities
- the daily routine emphasized prayer, silence, and work
- Women had to be signed out of the Magdalene or have a “position” to go to
- many remained to live, work, and ultimately die, behind convent walls
- after 1922, Magdalene Laundries were operated by The Sisters of Mercy (Galway and Dun Laoghaire), The Sisters of Our Lady of Charity (Drumcondra and Sean MacDermott Street, Dublin), the Sisters of Charity (Donnybrook and Cork), and the Good Shepherd Sisters (Limerick, Cork, Waterford and New Ross)
- these four orders also managed State residential institutions, in some cases on the same convent campus. All four are members of CORI
- the last Magdalene ceased operating as a commercial laundry on 25 October 1996
- the Nuns will not release records for women entering the laundries after 1 January 1900. Therefore there is no detailed accurate information regarding numbers involved
- JFM recognizes at least five distinct groups within the survivor community: those now speaking out and demanding justice, those living in silence due to the ongoing shame/stigma, those dependent on and living in the “care” of the religious congregations, victims who have died—many of whom are buried in incorrectly marked mass graves, and the adopted children/families of former Magdalene women

### **JFM's Campaign to Establish State Complicity**

Mr. Batt O'Keeffe, T.D., then Minister for Education and Science, rejected JFM's proposal for an apology and distinct redress scheme on 4 September 2009. He claimed:

- the state is only liable for children transferred from residential institutions
- the laundries were privately owned and operated
- the state did not refer individuals nor was it complicit in referring individuals to the laundries



JFM does not refute the assertion that the laundries were privately owned and operated. We do contend that the State was always complicit in their operation. JFM has met with the Departments of Justice (twice), Education, and Health, and we have corresponded with the Departments of Social Protection, Finance, and Defense.

JFM asserts that the Irish State:

- Was aware of the nature and function of the Magdalene laundries
- Was aware that there was no statutory basis for the use of the laundries by the courts as an alternative to a prison sentence
- Was aware that there was no statutory basis for the use of the laundries by the courts for placing women and young girls “On Probation”
- Enacted legislation to enable the use of the Sean McDermott Street Magdalene laundry as a remand home
- Was aware that children and adolescent girls were confined in the laundries as late as 1970, and that these “voluntary” placements were in addition to children transferred to the laundries from State residential institutions
- Maintained a “special provision” whereby women giving birth to a second child outside marriage at a Mother-and-Baby or County Home could be transferred directly to a Magdalene laundry
- Paid capitation grants to Magdalene Laundries and other religious convents for the confinement of “problem girls,” girls “on probation,” and girls “on remand” and yet it maintains that these were “private and charitable” institutions
- Never inspected, licensed or certified these home as “Approved” institutions, rather referred women and young girls into these institutions based on the assumption that the religious congregation would care and provide for them
- Has yet to produce a single record for any woman or young girl, or the children born to these women and young girls, referred to the laundries by State agencies
- Refuses to admit its complicity in referring women to the Magdalene laundries
- Refuses to acknowledge its failure to protect women’s constitutional rights
- Refuses to apologize for its role in referring women to the laundries and therefore impedes “restorative justice” for this population of institutional survivors
- Refuses to enter into discussions with the Catholic hierarchy and/or the relevant religious congregations in an effort to produce records
- Refuses to establish a distinct redress scheme as outlined by JFM

**Further information about our campaign can be found at [www.magdalenelaundries.com](http://www.magdalenelaundries.com).**